Editorial: Advanced Colorectal Cancer: Clinico-Pathological and Molecular Factors with Prognostic Importance, and Potential **Predictive Markers of Response to Modern Systemic Treatments**

In Western countries, approximately 20% of patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) present advanced disease stage at diagnosis [1-3]. The standard approach for advanced CRC with inoperable metastasis has been systemic treatment with chemotherapy for over 40 years, followed by combinations with oxaliplatin and irinotecan, that increased both response rates and overall survival (OS), and finally with the addition of monoclonal antibodies with anti-angiogenic or anti-EGFR effect [4-6]. Despite the improvement in modern chemotherapeutic and targeted agents, the long-term survival of patients with stage IV CRC is uncommon, with a poor 5-year survival rate (<15 %) [7]. Surgery remains an important treatment option for advanced CRC, especially since it offers a curative option for select groups: patients with metastatic disease confined to a single organ, patients with a local recurrence only or patients with limited intra-abdominal disease. In such cases, an aggressive and multimodal management integrating both surgical resection and systemic chemotherapy treatment allows achieving long-term surviving rates of 50% [8]. However, in most cases of CRCm, treatment is palliative rather than curative, and the main objectives are to prolong overall survival (OS) and maintain quality of life for as long as possible. Different clinical and pathological characteristics have been proposed as prognostic factors for patients with unresectable CRCm undergoing first line systemic chemotherapy, such as the presence of peritoneal carcinomatosis, number of metastatic locations, elevated tumour markers in serum, or the site of primary tumour [9-11]. However, to date there is no reliable strategy for predicting the survival of individual patients undergoing modern chemotherapy. This has led to an increased interest in identifying prognostic factors that could permit more accurate patient stratification. Regarding to clinical and pathological factors that might have a prognostic role, there are several retrospective studies published to date that have provided conflicting results. Further efforts should be made to study the associations between prognostic factors and CRC biological behaviour.

The first biomarker incorporated into clinical practice was the analysis of mutations in KRAS exon 2 (codon 12/13) as an established predictor of lack of response to the anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies Cetuximab and Panitumumab in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer [12, 13]. Kirsten-ras (KRAS) is a proto-oncogene encoding a small 21 kD guanosine triphosphate/guanosine diphosphate binding protein involved in regulation of cellular response to many extracellular stimuli [14]. Mutations within KRAS abrogating GTP-ase activity and resulting in activation of RAS/RAF signaling are found in 35% to 42% of CRCs and are thought to occur early in CRC carcinogenesis. Activating mutation in other members of the RAS oncogene family (KRAS exons 2, 3 and 4, and NRAS exons 2, 3 and 4) have been also described, although they are much less frequent. NRAS appears in about 2% of patients with advanced CRC [15]. The presence of less frequent KRAS mutations and NRAS mutations has recently been related as well with a lack of benefit from anti-EGFR therapies [16]. The evaluation of an extended panel of RAS mutations can better define the patient population that is likely to benefit from anti-EGFR therapy. Thus, testing RAS-status is nowadays a routine procedure worldwide, and has been incorporated in clinical practice as a predictive biomarker to decide first line treatment for patients with advanced CRC.

In the other hand, Bevacizumab a monoclonal antibody against VEGF was the first inhibitor of angiogenesis approved for the treatment of advanced CRC in combination with chemotherapy based on the survival benefit observed in clinical trials. The search for molecular markers capable of predicting patients' prognosis and the response to anti-angiogenic treatments has increased. Attempts have been made without success to relate several molecular markers with tumour response to the treatment with bevacizumab; therefore, currently it is not possible to predict in advance which patients will benefit from this treatment [17]. VEGFR-2/KDR is the main receptor of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and is frequently over-expressed in CRC. Several authors have linked this over-expression with a significant increase in tumour vasculature and an increase in the metastatic capacity of the tumours [18]. The binding of VEGF to VEGFR-2/KDR leads to the phosphorylation and activation of a signalling pathway that stimulates the proliferation, migration and inhibition of apoptosis and maturing of endothelial cell vascular structures [19, 20]. VEGF is an important regulator of physiologic and pathologic angiogenesis, and it is over-expressed in many different tumour types. It has been described how RAS pathway signaling increases VEGF expression and repress negative regulators of angiogenesis, suggesting that RAS aberrations could modulate the tumour response to anti-angiogenic therapies [21-23]. It is controversial whether KRAS mutations, independently of the use of anti-EGFR therapies, have a prognostic role in CRC [24, 25]. Different studies published to the date have not been conclusive, even among several large studies [26, 27], and the role of KRAS and NRAS mutational status as a predictor of outcome of oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy and bevacizumab remains uncertain.

BRAF mutations occur in 5 -15 % of metastatic CRC [28]. This mutation has been found to be a poor prognostic factor in multiple clinical trials and more frequently is associated with adverse histological features and poorly differentiated morphology [29-31]. BRAF mutant metastatic CCR group could benefit of an aggressive and targeted therapy. During the last years a significant progress has been made in the investigation and identification of biomarkers candidates to predict response to new therapeutic strategies. The FIRE-3 clinical trial results suggest that patients with right-colon cancer seem to benefit less from treatment with anti-EGFR drugs [32], in addition, this patients are more likely to present BRAF mutations and microsatellite instability (MSI). This data are specially relevant, since tumours with MSI are associated with lymphocytic infiltration and PD-1 and PDL-1 over-expression, and there is preliminary evidence from phase I clinical trials showing encouraging responses of these tumours to anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies, and suggest that this specific subgroup of patients might benefit from immune targeted approaches [33-35]. Other important potential predictive markers include HER2 amplification, ALK and ROS1 rearrangements or cMET amplifications. All of them might have a role in the tumour resistance to anti-EGFR therapies, but they also represent a challenging opportunity for the development of targeted therapy in advanced CRC. HER2 amplification is present in approximately 3% of patients and in these tumours responses to anti-HER2 therapies have been observed in xenograft models [36] and humans [37]; ALK-fusions and cMET amplification have also been observed in a small proportion of patients (1 and 2% respectively), but both biological abnormalities could predict the success of targeted therapies witch warrantees the investigation of the effectiveness of this pathways inhibition in advanced CRC [38, 39].

In conclusion the improvement of patient's outcomes that we have observed in the last years, has been leaded by a better molecular understanding of CRC, what has allowed for a better patient prognostication and for the application of precision medicine in their treatment. Nowadays it is mandatory before deciding ours patient's treatment the evaluation for *RAS* and *BRAF* status, and to integrate this information with classical variables such as Performance Status, age and goal of treatment to select the optimal therapy for the patient. The future advances in the treatment

of advanced CRC will come from the development of novel therapies for RAS-mutated tumours, and from the identification of subgroups of patients with molecular abnormalities suitable to be treated with targeted therapies such as BRAF, PIK3CA mutations, HER2 amplification or MSI.

REFERENCES

- [1] Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program (www.seer.cancer.gov) SEER*Stat Database: Incidence - SEER 17 Regs Limited-Use, Nov 2006 Sub (1973-2004 varying), National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Cancer Statistics Branch, released April 2007, based on the November 2006 submission. Accessed May 2013.
- Edge SB, Byrd DR, Compton CC, Fritz AG, Greene FL, Trotti A, Eds. AJCC cancer staging manual (7th ed). New York, NY: Springer [2] 2010; p. 143.
- Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 2012; 62(1): 10-29. [3] http://dx.doi.org/10.3322/caac.20138
- [4] Bleiberg H. Role of chemotherapy for advanced colorrectal cancer. New oportunities. Semin Oncol 1996; 23(1 Suppl 3): 42-50.
- de Gramont A, Louvet C, Andre T, Tournigand C, Krulik M. A review of GERCOD trials of bimonthly leucovorin plus 5-fluorouracil 48-h [5] continuous infusion in advanced colorectal cancer: evolution of a regimen. Groupe d'Etude et de Recherche sur les Cancers de l'Ovaire et Digestifs (GERCOD). Eur J Cancer 1998; 34: 619-26. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049(97)00364-X
- [6] Capdevila J. Elez E. Peralta S. Macarulla T. Ramos FJ. Tabernero J. Oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy in the manage- ment of colorectal cancer. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 2008; 8: 1223-36. http://dx.doi.org/10.1586/14737140.8.8.1223
- [7] Kuo LJ, Leu SY, Liu MC, Jian JJ, Hongiun Chen S, Chen CM. How aggressive should we be in patients with stage IV colorectal cancer? Dis Colon Rectum 2003; 46(12): 1646-1652. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02660770
- Shah SA, Haddad R, Al-Sukhni W, Kim RD, Greig PD, GrantDR, et al. Surgical resection of hepatic and pulmonary metastases from [8] colorectal carcinoma. J Am Coll Surg 2006; 202(3): 468-75. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2005.11.008
- [9] Shepherd NA, Baxter KJ, Love SB. The prognostic importance of peritoneal involvement in colonic cancer: a prospective evaluation. Gastroenterology 1997; 112: 1096-1102. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0016-5085(97)70119-7
- Eker B, Ozaslan E, Karaca H, Berk V, Bozhurt O, Inanc M, et al. Factors affecting prognosis in metastatic colorrectal cancer patients. [10] Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2015; 16: 3015-21. http://dx.doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2015.16.7.3015
- [11] Miyamoto Y, Hayashi N, Sakamoto Y, Ohuchi M, Tokunagam R, Kurashige J, et al. Predictors of long-term survival in patients with stage IV colorectal cancer with multi-organ metastases: a single center retrspective analysis. Int J Clin Oncol 2015; published online.
- Amado RG, Wolf M, Peeters M, Van Cutsem E, Siena S, Freeman DJ, et al. Wild-type KRAS is required for panitu- mumab efficacy in [12] patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 1626-34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.14.7116
- Karapetis CS, Khambata-Ford S, Jonker DJ, O'Callaghan CJ, Tu D, Tebbutt NC, et al. K-ras mutations and benefit from cetuximab in [13] advanced colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2008; 359: 1757-65. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0804385
- [14] Schubbert S, Shannon K, Bollag G. Hyperactive Ras in developmental disorders and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2007; 7: 295-308.
- Natsumi I, Yoshifumi B, Katsuhiko N, et al. NRAS mutations are rare in colorrectal cancer. Diagn Mol Pathol 2010; 19: 157-163. [15] http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PDM.0b013e3181c93fd1
- De Roock W, Bernasconi D, De Schutter J, et al. Effects of KRAS, BRAF, NRAS and PIK3Ca mutations on the efficacy of cetuximab [16] plus chemotherapy in chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorrectal cancer: a retrospective consortium análisis. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 753-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70130-3
- [17] Gerber HP, Ferrara N. Pharmacology and pharmaco-dynamics of bevacizumab as monotherapy or in combination with cytotoxic therapy in preclinical studies. Cancer Res 2005; 65: 671-80.
- Takahashi Y, Kitadai Y, Bucana CD, Cleary KR, Ellis LM. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptor, KDR, [18] correlates with vascularity, metastasis, and proliferation of human colon cancer. Cancer Res 1995; 55: 3964-8.
- Takahashi T, Shibuya M. The 230 kDa mature form of KDR/Flk-1 (VEGF receptor-2) activates the PLC-gamma pathway and partially [19] induces mitotic signals in NIH3T3 fibroblasts. Oncogene 1997; 14: 2079-89. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1201047
- Quinn TP, Peters KG, De Vries C, Ferrara N, Williams LT. Fetal liver kinase 1 is a receptor for vascular endothelial growth factor and is [20] selectively expressed in vascular endothelium. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993; 90: 7533-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.90.16.7533
- [21] Chin L, Tam A, Pomerantz J, Wong M, Holash J, Bardeesy N, et al. Essential role for oncogenic Ras in tumour maintenance. Nature 1999; 400: 468-72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/22788
- [22] Rak J, Mitsuhashi Y, Bayko L, Filmus J, Shirasawa S, Sasazuki T, et al. Mutant ras oncogenes upregulate VEGF/VPF expres- sion: implications for induction and inhibition of tumor angio- genesis. Cancer Res 1995; 55: 4575-80.

- [23] Watnick RS, Cheng YN, Rangarajan A, Ince TA, Weinberg RA. Ras modulates Myc activity to repress thrombospondin-1 expression and increase tumor angiogenesis. Cancer Cell 2003; 3: 219-31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1535-6108(03)00030-8
- [24] Klump B, Nehls O, Okech T, Hsieh CJ, Gaco V, Gittinger FS, et al. Molecular lesions in colorectal cancer: impact on prognosis? Original data and review of the literature. Int J Colorectal Dis 2004; 19: 23-42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00384-003-0499-7
- [25] Roth AD, Tejpar S, Delorenzi M, Yan P, Fiocca R, Klingbiel D, et al. Prognostic role of KRAS and BRAF in stage II and III resected colon cancer: results of the translational study on the PETACC-3, EORTC 40993, SAKK 60-00 trial. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 466-74. http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.23.3452
- [26] Samowitz WS, Curtin K, Schaffer D, Robertson M, Leppert M, Slattery ML. Relationship of Ki-ras mutations in colon cancers to tumor loca- tion, stage, and survival: a population-based study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2000; 9: 1193-7.
- [27] Ince WL, Jubb AM, Holden SN, Holmgren EB, Tobin P, Sridhar M, et al. Association of k-ras, b-raf, and p53 status with the treatment effect of bevacizumab. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005; 97: 981-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dji174
- [28] De Rook W, Claes B, Bernasconi D, *et al.* Effects of KRAS, BRAF, NRAS, and PIK3CA mutations on the efficacy of cetuximab plus chemotherapy in chemotherapy-refractory metastasic colorectal cancer: a retrospective consortium analysis. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 753-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70130-3
- [29] Pai RK, Jayachandran P, Koong AC, *et al.* BRAF-mutated, microsatellite-stable adenocarcinoma of the proximal colon: an aggressive adenocarcinoma with poor survival, mucinous differentiation, and adverse morphologic features. Am J Surg Pathol 2012; 36: 744-52. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e31824430d7
- [30] Russo AL, Borger DR, Szymonifka J, et al. Mutational analysis and clinical correlation of metastatic colorectal cancer. Cancer 2014; 120: 1482-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.28599
- [31] Yaeger R, Cercek A, Chou JF, et al. BRAF mutation predicts for poor outcomes after metastasectomy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Cancer 2014; 120: 2316-24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.28729
- [32] Heinemann V, von Weikersthal LF, Decker T, et al. FOLFIRI plus cetuximab versus FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab as first-line treatment for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (FIRE-3): A randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2014; 15: 1065- 1075. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70330-4
- [33] Cancer Genome Atlas Network: Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. Nature 2012; 487: 330-337. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11252
- [34] Gatalica Z, Snyder C, Maney T, et al. Programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and its ligand (PD-L1) in common cancers and their correlation with molecular cancer type. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2014; 23: 2965-2970. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-0654
- [35] Lipson EJ, Sharfman WH, Drake CG, *et al.* Durable cancer regression off-treatment and effective reinduction therapy with an anti-PD-1 antibody. Clin Cancer Res 2013; 19: 462-468. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2625
- [36] Bertotti A, Migliardi G, Galimi F, *et al.* A molecularly annotated platform of patient-derived xenografts ("xenopatients") identifies HER2 as an effective therapeutic target in cetuximab-resistant colorectal cancer. Cancer Discov 2011; 1: 508-523. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-11-0109
- [37] Siena S, Sartore-Bianchi A, Lonardi S, et al. Trastuzumab and lapatinib in Her-2 amplified metastatic colorectal patients (mCRC): The HERACLES trial. J Clin Oncol 2015; 33: (suppl;abstr 3508). (HERACLES Trial EudraCT 2012002128-33).
- [38] Aisner DL, Nguyen TT, Paskulin DD, et al. ROS1 and ALK fusions in colorectal cancer, with evidence of intratumoral heterogeneity for molecular drivers. Mol Cancer Res 2014; 12: 111-118. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-13-0479-T
- [39] Zeng ZS, Weiser MR, Kuntz E, et al. C-Met gene amplification is associated with advanced stage colorectal cancer and liver metastases. Cancer Lett 2008; 265: 258-269. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2008.02.049

Javier Garde-Noguera

Guest Editor

Medical Oncology Department Hospital Arnau de Vilanova, c/San Clemente nº12, 46015, Valencia Spain

E-mail: javiergardenoguera1@gmail.com

Mireia Gil-Raga

Medical Oncology Department Hospital de Sagunto, Avenida Ramón y Cajal, S/N, 46520 Sagunt, Valencia Spain